

Road Safety Audit:

STUYVESANT AVENUE (CR 619), VAUXHALL ROAD TO I-78 (MP 5.14-5.95)



July 2023 Issued January 2024

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Execu	tive Summaryiii
I. I	ntroduction1
Α.	Site Selection1
В.	What is a Road Safety Audit (RSA)?1
C.	The RSA Event2
II. C	Corridor Description and Analysis2
Α.	Study Location and Other Studies2
В.	Roadway and Intersection Characteristics2
C.	Existing Bicycle/Pedestrian Accommodations2
D.	Traffic Volumes2
Ε.	Transit Service
F.	Community Profile2
G.	Land Use3
III.	Crash Findings
Α.	Temporal Trends
В.	Collision Types4
C.	Severity5
D.	Roadway Surface & Light Condition6
Ε.	Location7
IV.	Identified Issues & Observations8
Α.	Pedestrian/Bicyclist
В.	Operations, Visibility, and Maintenance9
V. F	indings and Recommendations11
Α.	Recommendations
В.	Road Owner Response
C.	Recommendation Visualizations17
VI.	Conclusions

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1 – Total Crashes by Month and Day of Week	.4
Figure 2 – Pedestrian/Bicyclist Crashes by Month and Day of Week	.4
Figure 3 – Crash Type Breakdown	.5
Figure 4 – Severity (Pedestrian/Bicycle Crashes)	.5
Figure 5 – Surface Conditions (All Crashes)	.6
Figure 6 – Light Conditions (All Crashes)	.6
Figure 7 – Surface Conditions (Pedestrian/Bicycle Crashes)	.7
Figure 8 – Light Conditions (Pedestrian/Bicycle Crashes)	.7
Figure 9 – Pedestrian Facility Examples	18
Figure 10 – Sidewalk and Driveways (Source: CSDG)	19

Figure 11 – Bicycle Facility Examples	
Figure 12 - Example of a Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacor	n (Source: NATCO: UB)20

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1 – County Ranking, Top 50 (Corridor)	. 1
Table 2 – County Ranking, Top 50 (Intersection)	.1
Table 3 – Study Area Demographics	. 3
Table 4 – Corridor-Wide Recommendations1	11
Table 5 – Site-Specific Recommendations1	13

APPENDICES

- A. RSA Team
- B. Area Map
- C. Pedestrian Crash Diagrams
- D. Vehicular Crash Diagrams
- E. Traffic Data / Straight Line Diagrams
- F. Photographs
- G. Pre-Audit Presentation
- H. Road Owner Response

Executive Summary

This document is the draft report of the Road Safety Audit (RSA) conducted along Stuyvesant Avenue (CR 619) from Vauxhall Road to I-78, in Union Township, Union County. An RSA is an effective way of identifying crash-causing trends and appropriate countermeasures utilizing a nontraditional approach that promotes transportation safety while maintaining mobility.

The aforementioned roadway section was identified on NJDOT's Network Screening list. According to the NJDOT crash database, there were 185 crashes from 2018 to 2020 along the study area section of Stuyvesant Avenue. There were 11 pedestrian crashes and 2 bicyclist crashes from 2016 to 2020, none of which were fatal.

The hybrid RSA was conducted on Monday, May 1, and Tuesday, May 2, 2023. The pre-audit meeting was conducted online via Microsoft Teams on Monday and the field visit and post-audit were conducted on Tuesday. Representatives from Union County, Union Township, NJDOT, NJTPA, NJ Transit, and EZ Ride TMA were in attendance during one or both days.

The RSA site and crash history are described in Sections II and III of this report, respectively. Section II also identifies previous and on-going studies conducted by the agency representatives. Corridor-wide and site-specific issues and recommendations, organized by location, are discussed in Section V. These recommendations addressed pedestrian safety by ensuring ADA compliance, repairing sidewalks, and providing compliant pedestrian signal equipment at traffic signals. Additionally, many suggestions were made to provide access management, provide a shared use path, improve signage, and improve lighting.

The recommendations contained herein were developed collaboratively with the roadway owner and local stakeholders from the RSA Team (members listed in Appendix A). The study partners have expressed interest in implementing many of the recommendations as time and funds allow. Many of the maintenance items, which are typically low cost, can be addressed without additional engineering.

Please note this RSA report does not constitute an engineering report. The agency responsible for design and construction should consult a licensed professional engineer in preparing the design and construction documents, to implement any of the safety countermeasures mentioned in this report.

I. Introduction

A. Site Selection

This section of Stuyvesant Avenue was identified on NJDOT's Network Screening lists, as shown below. The current Network Screening list rankings are based on 2014-2016 vehicular and 2012-2016 pedestrian crash data, unless noted otherwise.

Location	Ped Corridor	Regional Corridor
Stuyvesant Avenue	#3 (MP 4.92-5.92)	#10 (MP 4.75-5.75)

Table 2 – County Ranking, Top 50 (Intersection)

Location	Intersections	Pedestrian Intersections
Vauxhall Road (MP 5.14)	#13	#3
Oakland Avenue (MP 2.96)	#46	-

B. What is a Road Safety Audit (RSA)?

An RSA is a formal safety performance examination of an existing or future road or intersection by a multi-disciplinary audit team. It qualitatively estimates and reports on existing and potential road safety issues, as well as identifies opportunities for improvements in safety for all road users. RSAs can be used on any size project, from minor maintenance to mega-projects, and can be conducted on facilities with a history of crashes, or during the design phase of a new roadway or planned upgrade. RSAs consider all road users, account for human factors and road user capabilities, are documented in a formal report, and require a formal response from the road owner. RSAs focus on evaluating the safety of both pedestrians and bicyclists, which may include looking beyond the roadway to include other paths, connections, and generators.

RSAs are conducted to generate improvement recommendations and countermeasures for roadway segments demonstrating a history of, or potential for, a high frequency of crashes, or an identifiable pattern of crash types. Recommendations range from low-cost, quick-turnaround safety improvements to more complex strategies. Implementation of improvement strategies identified through this process may be eligible for Local Federal Aid Safety Funds. Because the RSA process is adaptable to local needs and conditions, recommendations can be implemented incrementally as time and resources permit. The RSA process, one of FHWAs proven safety countermeasures, is shown below.

CONDUCTING AN RSA



C. The RSA Event

This hybrid RSA was conducted on Monday, May 1, and Tuesday, May 2, 2023. The pre-audit meeting was conducted online via Microsoft Teams on Monday and the field visit and post-audit were conducted on Tuesday. Representatives from Union County, Union Township, NJDOT, NJTPA, NJ Transit, and EZ Ride TMA were in attendance during one or both days. A list of team members can be found in Appendix A. Other organizations that the RSA Team identified that may have an interest in the project area include the local businesses.

II. Corridor Description and Analysis

A. Study Location and Other Studies

The study area consists of a 0.8-mile segment of Stuyvesant Avenue (MP 5.14-5.95). The adjacent land use along the corridor is a mix of commercial and residential properties. The following sections provide additional information.

B. Roadway and Intersection Characteristics

Stuyvesant Avenue is an undivided urban minor arterial, with 2 travel lanes and on-street parking. Shoulders are not present throughout the study area. The posted speed is 25 mph. There are 3 signalized and 13 unsignalized intersections.

C. Existing Bicycle/Pedestrian Accommodations

Sidewalk is provided along both sides of Stuyvesant Avenue. Marked crosswalks consist of double lines, or ladder styles depending on location. Sidewalk and crosswalk conditions vary from newly installed to needing maintenance. There are no bicycle lanes or other bicycling infrastructure identified along the corridor.

D. Traffic Volumes

The 2019 Annual Daily Traffic (ADT) along Stuyvesant Avenue in the vicinity of Haines Avenue is approximately 14,140 vehicles per day. A copy of the available data can be found in Appendix E.

E. Transit Service

NJ Transit bus service is provided along Stuyvesant Avenue via routes 66, and 94. Stops are located at or near Vauxhall Road, Haines Avenue, Gless Avenue, Mountainview Avenue, Long Terrace / Tyler Street, Morrison Avenue, Oakland Avenue, and Everett Circle.

F. Community Profile

The <u>American Community Survey (ACS)</u> estimate, which updates the 2010 Census population and income characteristics, was used to identify minority and low-income populations surrounding the project limits. The latest ACS for this study area is a five-year estimate from 2016 through 2020. A summary of the demographics is listed below. Bold denotes that the percentage is above the Union County average.

Characteristic	Study Area	County Average
Demographic Index	43%	-
Race/Ethnicity	-	-
White	23%	39%
Hispanic/Latino	18%	32%
Black or African American	43%	20%
Asian American	11%	5%
American Indian/Alaskan	0%	0%
Other ¹	5%	4%
People over age 64	15%	14%
People under age 18	19%	23%
Low Income	4%	9%
Limited English Proficiency	15%	18%
Persons with a Disability	8%	9%
Use Public Transportation	5%	6%
Walk/Bike to Work	1%	1%
Homes with No Vehicle Available	4%	11%

Table 3 – Study Area Demographics

The Demographic Index in the study area is below 50%. Therefore, this area may not be considered a historically underserved community.

G. Land Use

The area surrounding Stuyvesant Avenue is commercial/residential. The Union Public Library is located west of Vauxhall Road. Franklin Elementary school is located to the northeast of Oakland Ave and St Michaels School is located along Vauxhall Rd to the west. Throughout the corridor are various restaurants and auto shops. Additional features are shown on the project area map in Appendix B.

III. Crash Findings

The analysis used in the RSA was based on reportable crashes found in the NJDOT crash database resulting in a fatality, injury and/or property damage. Corridor-wide crash characteristics and overrepresentations were compared to the 2020 statewide average for the county road system as further detailed below. All crashes were plotted onto collision diagrams, which can be found in Appendix C and D.

According to the NJDOT crash database, 172 vehicular crashes occurred during the 3-year period between January 1, 2018 and December 31, 2020 along the study area. There were 13 pedestrian / bicyclist crashes over the 5-year period between January 1, 2016 and December 31, 2020. The total number of crashes used for the RSA was 185.

A. Temporal Trends

Total crashes varied (either higher or lower) from the county average except in February, March, and May through July. In general, crashes were lower on Saturdays and Sundays and higher on Mondays and Wednesdays.

¹ Percentages may not equal 100% due to rounding. Other includes individuals who identified themselves as 'Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander', 'Some Other Race Alone' or 'Two or More Races'





Collisions with pedestrians and bicyclists were higher on Mondays, Tuesdays, and Fridays, and during December.



Figure 2 – Pedestrian/Bicyclist Crashes by Month and Day of Week

B. Collision Types

Overrepresented crash types included same direction-side swipe, right angle, parked vehicle, left turn, backing, and pedestrian. Same direction rear end and right angle crashes were the predominant crash types (46% of total).



Figure 3 – Crash Type Breakdown

C. Severity

No fatal vehicular or pedestrian/bicyclist crashes were identified in the studied time period. Nine (9) pedestrian/bicyclist crashes included a possible injury, and 4 included a suspected minor injury during the time period studied.



Figure 4 – Severity (Pedestrian/Bicycle Crashes)

D. Roadway Surface & Light Condition

Overrepresented conditions included daytime (73%), dry surface (86%), and icy surface (0.4%). All other conditions are similar to or underrepresented compared to the county road system.



Figure 5 – Surface Conditions (All Crashes)



Figure 6 – Light Conditions (All Crashes)



Forty-six percent (46%) of pedestrian crashes occurred at night and 92% occurred on dry surface. As shown in the figures below, these are overrepresented compared to the county road system.

Figure 7 – Surface Conditions (Pedestrian/Bicycle Crashes)



Figure 8 – Light Conditions (Pedestrian/Bicycle Crashes)

E. Location

Thirty-six percent (36%) of crashes occurred at signalized intersections, 12% occurred at unsignalized intersections, and 51% occurred between intersections. In comparison, 14%, 23%, and 63% of crashes on all county roads occur at signalized intersections, unsignalized intersections, and between intersections, respectively. All pedestrian/bicyclist crashes occurred at an intersection, with the majority of those occurring at the intersection of Vauxhall Road.

IV. Identified Issues & Observations

This section summarizes the common corridor-wide safety issues identified during the RSA and are not all inclusive. They are categorized into operations (including visibility) and maintenance issues, and pedestrian and bicyclist issues. Additional site-specific issues and photographs are in Appendix F.

A. Pedestrian/Bicyclist





Observation / Photo Location

No marked crosswalk at intersection

Stuyvesant Avenue and Tyler Street

Vehicles parked on sidewalk obstruct pedestrian path

Stuyvesant Avenue between Morrision Avenue and Walker Avenue

B. Operations, Visibility, and Maintenance



Observation / Photo Location

Outdated traffic signal heads and pedestrian signal equipment

Stuyvesant Avenue and Vauxhall Road



The Audit Team also observed vehicles traveling at higher speeds than the posted speed limit; large curb radii at intersections; sightline obstructions from the side streets due to vehicles parked too close to the intersections; inadequate lighting; lack of edge line and shoulder markings; unmarked crosswalks; poor sidewalk conditions; and drainage issues.

V. Findings and Recommendations

This section summarizes the site-specific and corridor-wide safety issues, potential strategies, and recommendations to improve the same. The safety benefit, time frame, cost, and jurisdiction are listed alongside each recommendation. Ratings used in the tables are described as follows. N/A indicates safety benefit not determined. Recommendations in **bold italics** are FHWA Proven Safety Countermeasures.

Symbol	Meaning	Definition
N/A	Not available	Safety benefit not determined
\checkmark	Low safety benefit potential	May reduce total crashes by 1-25% ²
$\checkmark\checkmark$	Low to moderate safety benefit potential	May reduce total crashes by 26-49% ²
$\checkmark \checkmark \checkmark$	Moderate safety benefit potential	May reduce total crashes by 50-74% ²
$\checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark$	High safety benefit potential	May reduce total crashes by 75+% ²
\$	Low cost	Could be accomplished through maintenance
\$\$	Medium cost	May require some engineering or design and funding may be readily available
\$\$\$	High cost	Longer term; may require full engineering, ROW acquisition and new funding
O	Short term	Could be accomplished within 1 year
•	Medium term	Could be accomplished in 1 to 3 years; may require some engineering and analysis
•	Long term	Could be accomplished in 3 years or more; may require full engineering and analysis

A. Recommendations

The following represents the specific findings and recommendations made by the RSA team. All recommendations and designs should be thoroughly evaluated with due diligence and designed as appropriate by the roadway owner and/or a professional engineer for conformance to all applicable codes, standards, and best practices.

Table 4 – Corridor-Wide	Recommendations
-------------------------	-----------------

No.	Recommendation	Safety Benefit	Cost	Time Frame	Jurisdiction
	Operations				
1	Consider upgrading all ramps for ADA compliance	√√√ ³	\$\$\$	0	County/ Township

² Based on existing Crash Modification Factors (CMFs), the Highway Safety Manual (HSM), FHWA Proven Safety Countermeasures and current research, where applicable. All safety benefits are approximate.

³ CMF/quantitative data not available for this type of roadway or treatment. Therefore, perceived safety benefit of the same was estimated relative to other similar treatments.

No.	Recommendation	Safety Benefit	Cost	Time Frame	Jurisdiction
2	Consider corridor-wide signal upgrades (8" to 12" signal heads, install backplates with retroreflective border , evaluate clearance intervals, update to countdown pedestrian signal heads, replace push buttons for ADA compliance, signal timings, lighting, etc.)	√√	\$\$\$	•	County/ Township
3	Consider updating signage to ensure it is consistent throughout corridor	~	\$	o	County/ Township
4	Consider conducting a parking study to investigate on- street parking requirements and Title 39 conformance	√4	\$\$	•	County/ Township
5	Consider conducting a <i>lighting</i> analysis for the corridor	~~~~	\$\$	•	County/ Township
6	Review <i>access management</i> for the corridor and consider driveway revisions or consolidation	~	\$\$\$	•	County/ Township
7	Investigate installing edge lines to delineate the travel lanes and parking areas/shoulder	√4	\$	o	County/ Township
8	Consider installing curb in areas where missing	~	\$\$	•	County/ Township
9	Consider clearing sight triangles at intersections	~~	\$	O	County/ Township
10	Investigate additional drainage improvements along the corridor	√4	\$\$	•	County
	Bicycle/Pedestrian				
11	Inspect, repair and construct sidewalk in compliance with ADA as needed, including driveway aprons; complete any missing connections	~~~	\$\$	•	County/ Township
12	Examine crosswalks status: check placement, alignment, and markings	~~	\$	O	County/ Township
13	Study implementation of curb extensions (bump outs) based on the site-specific recommendations to maintain consistency	√√4	\$\$	•	County/ Township
14	Consider providing <i>high-visibility crosswalk</i> markings for all intersections throughout corridor	~~	\$	O	County/ Township
15	Investigate providing <i>Leading Pedestrian Interval (LPI)</i> at signalized intersections	~~~	\$	O	County/ Township
16	Consider bicycle facilities throughout the corridor	vv	\$	•	County/ Township
	Maintenance				· · · ·
17	Inspect existing striping for wear and restripe accordingly	~~	\$	O	County/ Township
18	Inspect and replace missing, faded, damaged or incorrect/outdated signage as needed (i.e., signs mounted below 7-ft, on non-breakaway posts, or back- to-back signs that obscure shapes)	~	\$	O	County/ Township

⁴ CMF/quantitative data not available for this type of roadway or treatment. Therefore, perceived safety benefit of the same was estimated relative to other similar treatments.

No.	Recommendation	Safety Benefit	Cost	Time Frame	Jurisdiction
19	Inspect and trim foliage/vegetation to improve sidewalk paths	√ 5	\$\$	•	County/ Township
20	Inspect drainage facilities; ensure they are free of debris	√5	\$\$	•	County/ Township
	Education				
21	Consider periodic sidewalk, crosswalk, multimodal education campaign and code enforcement	√5	\$	0	EZ Ride TMA/ Township

The following site-specific recommendations are in addition to the corridor-wide improvements, except if noted otherwise. Since there are planned future resurfacing projects in the study area including Kay Avenue / Marianne Way, it is advised to coordinate any recommendations with Union Township.

No.	Recommendation	Safety Benefit	Cost	Time Frame	Jurisdiction
	Vauxhall Road				
22	Consider corridor-wide recommendations 1, 5, 11, and 14 regarding ADA compliance, sidewalk, and crosswalks	√√√ 5	\$\$\$	•	County/ Township
23	Inspect parking abutting sidewalks and consider revisions to stalls with physical barriers so vehicles cannot park on or overhang the sidewalk	~	\$\$	•	County/ Township
24	Consider corridor-wide recommendation 2 and 15 regarding signal upgrades and <i>LPIs</i> , including signal phasing for protected only left turns and no turn on red	~~	\$\$\$	٢	County/ Township
25	Consider restriping to install <i>dedicated left turn</i> <i>lanes</i>	~	\$\$	•	County/ Township
26	Consider installing lane use signs	~	\$	O	County/ Township
27	Consider removing foundation tripping hazard at northwest corner	√5	\$\$	•	County/ Township
28	Consider corridor-wide recommendation 6 regarding <i>access management</i> and driveway consolidation at northeast corner	~	\$\$\$	•	County/ Township
29	Consider reconfiguring QuickChek driveway on Vauxhall Road to right-in, right-out (RIRO) only operations	~~	\$\$	•	County/ Township
30	Consider corridor-wide recommendation 8 regarding curb installation	~	\$\$	•	County/ Township

⁵ CMF/quantitative data not available for this type of roadway or treatment. Therefore, perceived safety benefit of the same was estimated relative to other similar treatments.

No.	Recommendation	Safety Benefit	Cost	Time Frame	Jurisdiction
	Haines Avenue				
31	Consider corridor-wide recommendations 1, 5, 11, and 14 regarding ADA compliance, sidewalk, and crosswalks	√√√ ⁶	\$\$\$	•	County/ Township
32	Consider corridor-wide recommendation 12 and 17 regarding crosswalk and stop bar	~~	\$	O	County/ Township
33	Consider corridor-wide recommendation 9 regarding clearing sight triangles	~ ~	\$	O	County/ Township
34	Consider installing transverse rumble strips to curb speeding	~~~~	\$\$	•	County/ Township
35	Consider installing panels at the bus shelter	N/A	\$	•	Township
	High Street				
36	Consider corridor-wide recommendations 1, 5, 11, and 14 regarding ADA compliance, sidewalk, and crosswalks	√√√ ⁶	\$\$\$	•	County/ Township
37	Consider corridor-wide recommendation 12 and 17 regarding crosswalk and stop bar	~ ~	\$	O	County/ Township
38	Consider corridor-wide recommendation 9 regarding clearing sight triangles	~ ~	\$	O	County/ Township
39	Consider corridor-wide recommendation 8 regarding curb installation	~	\$\$	•	County/ Township
	Gless Avenue				
40	Consider corridor-wide recommendations 1, 5, 11, and 14 regarding ADA compliance, sidewalk, and crosswalks	√√√ 6	\$\$\$	•	County/ Township
41	Consider corridor-wide recommendation 12 and 17 regarding crosswalk and stop bar	√ √	\$	O	County/ Township
	Mountainview Avenue				
42	Consider corridor-wide recommendations 1, 5, 11, and 14 regarding ADA compliance, sidewalk, and crosswalks	√√√ 6	\$\$\$	•	County/ Township
43	Consider corridor-wide recommendation 12 and 17 regarding crosswalk and stop bar	√ √	\$	O	County/ Township
	Lentz Avenue				
44	Consider corridor-wide recommendations 1, 5, 11, and 14 regarding ADA compliance, sidewalk, and crosswalks	√√√ ⁶	\$\$\$	•	County/ Township
45	Consider corridor-wide recommendation 12 and 17 regarding crosswalk and stop bar	~ ~	\$	O	County/ Township
46	Consider corridor-wide recommendation 9 regarding clearing sight triangles	~ ~	\$	O	County/ Township

⁶ CMF/quantitative data not available for this type of roadway or treatment. Therefore, perceived safety benefit of the same was estimated relative to other similar treatments.

No.	Recommendation	Safety Benefit	Cost	Time Frame	Jurisdiction		
	Kay Avenue / Marianne Way						
47	Consider corridor-wide recommendations 1, 5, 11, and 14 regarding ADA compliance, sidewalk, and crosswalks	√√√ ⁷	\$\$\$	۲	County/ Township		
48	Consider corridor-wide recommendation 12 and 17 regarding crosswalk and stop bar	~~	\$	O	County/ Township		
49	Consider corridor-wide recommendation 6 regarding <i>access management</i>	~	\$\$\$	•	County/ Township		
50	Consider corridor-wide recommendation 9 regarding clearing sight triangles	~	\$	O	County/ Township		
	Ernst Terrace						
51	Consider corridor-wide recommendations 1, 5, 11, and 14 regarding ADA compliance, sidewalk, and crosswalks	√ √ √ ⁷	\$\$\$	•	County/ Township		
52	Consider corridor-wide recommendation 12 and 17 regarding crosswalk and stop bar	~~	\$	O	County/ Township		
	Tyler Street / Long Terrace						
53	Consider corridor-wide recommendations 1, 5, 11, and 14 regarding ADA compliance, sidewalk, and crosswalks	√√√ ⁷	\$\$\$	•	County/ Township		
54	Consider corridor-wide recommendation 2 regarding signal upgrades	~~	\$\$\$	•	County/ Township		
55	Investigate signalizing the 7-Eleven Driveway	~~	\$\$\$	•	County/ Township		
56	Consider corridor-wide recommendation 12 and 17 regarding crosswalk and stop bar	~~	\$	O	County/ Township		
57	Consider corridor-wide recommendation 9 regarding clearing sight triangles	~~	\$	O	County/ Township		
58	Consider pavement resurfacing due to poor pavement condition	~	\$\$	•	County/ Township		
	Balmoral Avenue / Oakwood Parkway						
59	Consider corridor-wide recommendations 1, 5, 11, and 14 regarding ADA compliance, sidewalk, and crosswalks	√ √ √ ⁷	\$\$\$	•	County/ Township		
60	Consider corridor-wide recommendation 12 and 17 regarding crosswalk and stop bar	~~	\$\$\$	•	County/ Township		
61	Consider corridor-wide recommendation 9 regarding clearing sight triangles	~~	\$	O	County/ Township		
	Stecher Avenue						
62	Consider corridor-wide recommendations 1, 5, 11, and 14 regarding ADA compliance, sidewalk, and crosswalks	√√√ ⁸	\$\$\$	•	County/ Township		

⁷ CMF/quantitative data not available for this type of roadway or treatment. Therefore, perceived safety benefit of the same was estimated relative to other similar treatments.

No.	Recommendation	Safety Benefit	Cost	Time Frame	Jurisdiction
63	Consider corridor-wide recommendation 12 and 17 regarding crosswalk and stop bar	~ ~	\$\$\$	۲	County/ Township
64	Consider corridor-wide recommendation 18 on signs	~	\$	O	County/ Township
	Morrison Avenue				
65	Consider installing a traffic signal if warranted	~ ~	\$\$\$	•	County/ Township
66	Consider installing Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacon (RRFB)	√ √	\$	•	County
67	Consider corridor-wide recommendations 1, 5, 11, and 14 regarding ADA compliance, sidewalk, and crosswalks	√√√ ⁸	\$\$\$	•	County/ Township
68	Consider corridor-wide recommendation 12 and 17 regarding crosswalk and stop bar	~~	\$\$\$	•	County/ Township
69	Consider corridor-wide recommendation 9 regarding clearing sight triangles	~~	\$	O	County/ Township
	Walker Avenue				·
70	Consider corridor-wide recommendations 1, 5, 11, and 14 regarding ADA compliance, sidewalk, and crosswalks	√√√ ⁸	\$\$\$	•	County/ Township
71	Consider corridor-wide recommendation 12 and 17 regarding crosswalk and stop bar	~~	\$\$\$	Ð	County/ Township
72	Consider corridor-wide recommendation 10 regarding drainage	√ 8	\$\$	•	County
73	Consider installing additional One-Way sign	~	\$	O	County/ Township
74	Consider corridor-wide recommendation 9 regarding clearing sight triangles	~ ~	\$	O	County/ Township
	Oakland Avenue	•			
75	Consider corridor-wide recommendation 2 and 15 regarding signal upgrades and <i>LPIs</i>	~	\$\$\$	•	County/ Township
76	Consider reducing curb radii	~	\$	O	County/ Township
77	Consider installing <i>pedestrian refuge island</i>	~ ~ ~	\$\$	•	County/ Township
78	Consider corridor-wide recommendations 1, 5, 11, and 14 regarding ADA compliance, sidewalk, and crosswalks	√√√ 8	\$\$\$	•	County/ Township
79	Consider corridor-wide recommendation 12 and 17 regarding crosswalk and stop bar	~ ~	\$\$\$	•	County/ Township
80	Consider improving lighting near the bus shelter	N/A	\$	0	County

⁸ CMF/quantitative data not available for this type of roadway or treatment. Therefore, perceived safety benefit of the same was estimated relative to other similar treatments.

No.	Recommendation	Safety Benefit	Cost	Time Frame	Jurisdiction
81	Consider corridor-wide recommendation 18 on signs	~	\$	O	County/ Township
82	Consider installing lane use signs	~	\$	O	County/ Township
83	Consider adding dashed turn lane striping "tracks" for southbound left turn lanes	~ ~	\$	O	County/ Township
84	Consider corridor-wide recommendation 8 regarding curb installation	~	\$\$	•	County/ Township
	Everett Circle				
85	Consider corridor-wide recommendations 1, 5, 11, and 14 regarding ADA compliance, sidewalk, driveway apron, and crosswalks	√√√ 9	\$\$\$	۲	County/ Township
86	Consider corridor-wide recommendation 12 and 17 regarding crosswalk and stop bar	~ ~	\$\$\$	•	County/ Township
87	Consider corridor-wide recommendation 18 regarding on signs	~	\$	O	County/ Township
88	Investigate consolidating bus stops at this intersection and Oakland Ave depending on ridership	N/A	\$\$	•	NJ Transit
	I-78 Overpass				
89	Consider corridor-wide recommendation 19 regarding trimming foliage along sidewalk path	√9	\$\$	•	County/ Township
90	Consider corridor-wide recommendation 8 regarding curb installation	~	\$\$	•	County/ Township
91	Consider corridor-wide recommendation 11 regarding sidewalk	√√√ ⁹	\$\$\$	•	County/ Township
92	Inspect and improve underpass lighting	$\checkmark \checkmark \checkmark$	\$\$	0	NJDOT

B. Road Owner Response

An important part of the RSA process is the road owner's response: an acknowledgment of the audit's findings and recommendations, and their planned follow-up. In responding to the RSA's findings, the road owner must bear in mind all the competing objectives involved when implementing the recommendations, and foremost among them is available resources. Because the audit process generated a long and wide-ranging list of improvements, the road owner is expected to implement these recommended improvements as time and funds allow in coordination with other projects and priorities. Union County's response is provided in Appendix H.

C. Recommendation Visualizations

Examples of some of the site-specific and corridor-wide safety recommendations identified in Tables 4 and 5 are shown below and are based on current practices and standards. Descriptions and images of each treatment are from the 2017 NJ Complete Street Design Guide (CSDG) and NACTO's Urban

⁹ CMF/quantitative data not available for this type of roadway or treatment. Therefore, perceived safety benefit of the same was estimated relative to other similar treatments.

Street Design Guide (NACTO-US) and *Urban Bikeway Design Guide* (NACTO-UB), including sources contained therein. <u>These examples are meant to be generic and for informational purposes only.</u>

1. Pedestrian Facilities

ADA standards specify a minimum 5-foot clear path width to accommodate two wheelchairs passing each other. In addition to providing a more accessible facility, this minimum width also creates a more comfortable environment for pedestrians to walk side-by-side and pass each other. Sidewalk width should support the surrounding street context, land uses, as well as current and future pedestrian demand. Crossing islands, or pedestrian refuge islands, reduce the exposure time of pedestrians to vehicular traffic. Pedestrians can cross in two stages — crossing one direction of vehicular travel lanes, pausing at the island, and then completing the crossing. While recommended for crossing three lanes of traffic in one or both directions, they may be implemented on smaller cross sections where space permits. Curb extensions physically and visually narrow the roadway at intersections and midblock locations, creating safer and shorter pedestrian crossings, while increasing the available space for streetscape. They increase the overall visibility of pedestrians by aligning them with the shoulder or parking lane and help prohibit vehicles from parking in violation of Title 39.



Figure 9 – Pedestrian Facility Examples Left: Midblock Curb Extension. Right: Crossing Island. Bottom: Curb Extension (Source: CSDG)

The design of driveways should provide a continuous and level pedestrian path across the vehicular zone, encouraging drivers to stop for pedestrians on the sidewalk. Driveways should not be designed where the sidewalk is interrupted by the driveway.



Figure 10 – Sidewalk and Driveways (Source: CSDG)

Crosswalk visibility enhancements, a FHWA Proven Safety Countermeasure, help make crosswalks and the pedestrians, bicyclists, wheelchair and other mobility device users, and transit users using them more visible to drivers. These include high-visibility crosswalks, lighting, and signing and pavement markings. These enhancements can also assist users in deciding where to cross.

2. Bicycle Facilities

Bicycle lanes provide an exclusive space for bicyclists using pavement markings and signage. These lanes enable bicyclists to ride at their preferred speed, free from interference from motorists. Curbside protected bike lanes address conflicts with parking, bus stops, and other curbside activities. Where it is not feasible or appropriate to provide dedicated bicycle facilities, shared-lane markings (e.g. "sharrows") may be used to indicate a shared environment for bicycles and vehicles. Bicycle lanes and shared-lane markings should be extended through intersections and major driveways to enhance continuity, guide bicyclists through the intersection, and improve driver awareness of bicycle activity and movement.



Figure 11 – Bicycle Facility Examples

Left: Curbside bicycle lane (Source: NATCO: UB). Right: Sharrow Markings (Source: Eric Gilliland/Flickr)

3. Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacons

To enhance pedestrian conspicuity and increase driver awareness at uncontrolled, marked crosswalks, transportation agencies can install a pedestrian actuated Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacon (RRFB) to accompany a pedestrian warning sign. RRFBs consist of two, rectangular-shaped yellow indications, each with a light-emitting diode (LED)-array-based light source¹⁰. RRFBs flash

¹⁰ MUTCD Interim Approval 21 - RRFBs at Crosswalks

ing to drivers. RRFBs can also accompany school or trail crossing warning signs.

with an alternating high frequency when activated to enhance conspicuity of pedestrians at the crossing to drivers. RRFBs can also accompany school or trail crossing warning signs.

Figure 12 - Example of a Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacon (Source: NATCO: UB)

VI. Conclusions

The Stuyvesant Avenue RSA was conducted to identify safety issues and corresponding countermeasures that compromise the multimodal nature of this roadway. The team identified a thorough list of issues from the field visit, as well as many practical short-, mid-, and long-term improvements during the post-audit.

The recommendations documented in this report are designed to improve safety for all road users. Some of the strategies identified can be implemented through routine maintenance; however, all will be constrained by available time and budgetary priorities. The audit process and the resulting final document highlight the safety issues and present the needed improvements by location, organized for systematic implementation by the roadway owner.

It is important to note that when it comes to improving safety, engineering strategies alone only go so far – especially in areas undergoing redevelopment. Education, with support from a targeted enforcement campaign, is an effective approach for addressing driver and pedestrian behaviors that lead to crashes. Employing a multipronged approach is an effective course of action to advance the goal of improved safety on the corridor and the Towards Zero Deaths vision.

A. RSA TEAM

Stuyvesant Ave Road Safety Audit

Audit Team

Name	Agency	Day 1	Day 2
Rick Matias	Union County	Х	Х
Bernice Dieter	Union County	Х	Х
Philip Kandl	Union County	Х	
William Hoover	Union Township	Х	Х
Joseph Venezia	Union Township	Х	
John Jahr	Union Township	Х	
Elmira Buongiorno	NJ Transit	Х	Х
Hailey Graf	NJ Transit		Х
Lisa Lee	EZ Ride		Х
Erlen Maldonaldo	EZ Ride		Х
Jensy Jimenez	EZ Ride		Х
Yosy Cosme	NJDOT	Х	Х
Ereny Ibrahim	NJDOT	Х	Х
Marhaba Omer	NJDOT	Х	
Shannon Hughes	NHTSA	Х	
Andy Kaplan	NJTPA	Х	
Aimee Jefferson	NJTPA	Х	Х
Julia Steponanko	Greenman-Pedersen, Inc.	Х	Х
Kruti Barot	Greenman-Pedersen, Inc.	Х	Х
Catherine Transfeld	Greenman-Pedersen, Inc.	Х	Х
Romesh Radhakrishnan	Greenman-Pedersen, Inc.	Х	Х





B. AREA MAP

Stuyvesant Ave Road Safety Audit

AREA MAP





AREA MAP





C.PEDESTRIAN CRASH DIAGRAMS

Stuyvesant Ave Road Safety Audit











SEE

















NUMBER OF CRASHES WITH	SYMBOLS	TYPES OF CRASHES	COLORS
PROPERTY DAMAGE ONLY0INJURIES0FATALITIES0TOTAL NO. OF CRASHES0	MOVING VEHICLE BACKING VEHICLE BACKING VEHICLE NON-INVOLVED VEHICLE PEDESTRIAN PROPERTY DAMAGE ONLY CRASH INJURY IN CRASH FIXED OBJECT NON-FIXED OBJECT NON-FIXED OBJECT	REAR END ENCROACHMENT HEAD ON LEFT TURN SIDE SWIPE OUT OF CONTROL OVERTURNED	OFEDESTRAN GRASH
D. VEHICULAR CRASH DIAGRAMS

Stuyvesant Ave Road Safety Audit









SEE

SHEET



			i der				COLLISIC	ON DIAGE	RAM DATA						1	-
		AV		NO.	TIME	DAY	DATE	NO. INJURED	SURFACE CONDITION	WEATHER			THE	- Cir	100	
	200			22 25 27 32	17:16 07:25 09:02 14:27	TUE WED MON THU	04-24-18 05-23-18 05-28-18 06-14-18	2 0 0 0	DRY WET DRY DRY DRY DRY	CLEAR CLEAR OVERCAST CLEAR CLEAR CLEAR	DAY DAY DAY DAY	1	E	15		
		TR F	Sand and	50 52 59 71	14:39 12:51 16:16 07:46	WED TUE TUE WED	08-08-18 08-21-18 10-16-18 12-12-18	0 0 0	DRY DRY	CLEAR CLEAR CLEAR OVERCAST	DAY DAY DAY DAY		the same	a la		
		34 7		81 82 83 103 151	09:56 10:17 21:18 19:30 15:03	MON MON WED THU	02-04-19 02-04-19 02-04-19 05-08-19 10-17-19	0 0 0 0	DRY DRY DRY DRY DRY	CLEAR CLEAR CLEAR CLEAR CLEAR	DAY DAY DARK DAY DAY		5		1773	
	1 m	Ļ		154 167 169 191	13:57 16:01 09:51 13:57	SAT THU SAT TUE	10-19-19 11-21-19 11-30-19 01-14-20	2 1 0 0	DRY DRY DRY DRY DRY DRY DRY WET DRY DRY	CLEAR CLEAR CLEAR RAIN	DAY DARK DAY DAY DAY DAY DAY DAY DAY DAY	14.				
	1		C Carline /	194 203 204 218	09:21 16:02 08:42 16:48	TUE FRI SUN TUE	01-21-20 02-14-20 02-16-20 05-26-20	1 0 0 0	DRY DRY DRY DRY DRY	CLEAR CLEAR CLEAR CLEAR	DAY			8		
			k is	22 25 27 32 50 59 71 81 82 83 103 151 154 167 169 191 194 204 218 204 218 224 226 227 242 245 247 245 247 255	$17.16 \\ 07:25 \\ 09:02 \\ 14:27 \\ 14:39 \\ 12:51 \\ 16:16 \\ 07:46 \\ 09:56 \\ 10:17 \\ 21:18 \\ 19:30 \\ 15:03 \\ 13:57 \\ 16:01 \\ 13:57 \\ 09:51 \\ 13:57 \\ 09:51 \\ 13:57 \\ 09:51 \\ 13:57 \\ 16:02 \\ 08:42 \\ 16:48 \\ 14:25 \\ 12:00 \\ 14:46 \\ 13:29 \\ 13:05 \\ 15:41 \\ 07:06 \\ 17:32 \\ 17:32 \\ 17:32 \\ 100 \\ 17:32 \\ 100 \\ 17:32 \\ 100 \\ 17:32 \\ 100 \\ $	TUE WED MON THU TUE TUE WED MON MON WED THU SAT THU SAT TUE FRI SUN TUE FRI TUE FRI TUE FRI TUE FRI TUE FRI TUE THU THU	$\begin{array}{c} 04\mbox{-}24\mbox{-}18\\ 05\mbox{-}23\mbox{-}18\\ 05\mbox{-}23\mbox{-}18\\ 06\mbox{-}14\mbox{-}18\\ 08\mbox{-}08\mbox{-}18\\ 08\mbox{-}08\mbox{-}18\\ 08\mbox{-}08\mbox{-}18\\ 02\mbox{-}04\mbox{-}19\\ 02\mbox{-}04\mbox{-}19\\ 02\mbox{-}04\mbox{-}19\\ 02\mbox{-}04\mbox{-}19\\ 02\mbox{-}04\mbox{-}19\\ 02\mbox{-}04\mbox{-}19\\ 02\mbox{-}04\mbox{-}19\\ 02\mbox{-}04\mbox{-}19\\ 02\mbox{-}14\mbox{-}20\\ 07\mbox{-}03\mbox{-}20\\ 07\mbox{-}03\mbox{-}20\\ 07\mbox{-}03\mbox{-}20\\ 07\mbox{-}03\mbox{-}20\\ 09\mbox{-}02\mbox{-}20\\ 09\mbox{-}22\mbox{-}20\\ 09\mbox{-}22\mbox{-}20\\ 09\mbox{-}22\mbox{-}20\\ 09\mbox{-}22\mbox{-}20\\ 09\mbox{-}22\mbox{-}20\\ 09\mbox{-}22\mbox{-}20\\ 09\mbox{-}22\mbox{-}20\mbox{-}2$	0 0 0 1	DRY DRY DRY DRY DRY DRY DRY DRY WET	CLEAR OVERCAST CLEAR CLE	DAY DAY DAY DAY DAY		C			
Sheet 3.dgn	MA			245 247 255	15:41 07:06 17:32	FRI THU THU	09-04-20 09-24-20 11-12-20	1 0 0	DRY DRY WET	CLEAR CLEAR RAIN	DAY DAY DAY DAY DAY DARK				Real and	
Vehicular Crashes\St				- 169		*~	224	2	52		120		-83		@(<u>19</u>
County/Vehicu			218	(19)	(49	- 82 <u></u>	- 258	60-	(204	237	- 226		
noinU/sn	Z	25				and the second									A 6	
Crash Diagrar	σ	59		anner .	81 203					h			arres .		WAY	
\CaddHwy\C	14	100	and the	Antonio -							. 1.	en		1 O		
and Support		103								-			and a	passed &	ONE	
evelopment a	H. S.		a line the	17			-	LS L	TERRACE	10					LONG	
P Project D						-		i an	TER			. Ales	6.4		ERG	
2021685 HS				1 20						in the second		1.				
FILE: LIV		MARIAWE	and and						1.	1					1-1 0	
	2	dar	125			1	1	2				i lon			20	
4.53.54 PM		Z	EP	1	11	11	1	Car		1.00	HE			- Lat		
TIME:			1. 11	1.1	1 Jul	11	Se st			987	1 0		4	and the second		

LEGEND



















E. TRAFFIC DATA STRAIGHT LINE DIAGRAMS

Stuyvesant Ave Road Safety Audit



SRI = 20000619_

Date last inventoried: May 2012

S

Short-term Hourly Traffic Volume for 09/23/2019 to 09/25/2019

Site names:	162024,CO 619 Stuyvesant Ave-5.19,20000619	Seasonal Factor Grp:	rg1_4U
County:	UNION	Daily Factor Grp:	rg1_4U
Funct Class:	Urban Minor Arterial	Axle Factor Grp:	rg1_4U
Location:	Bet Haines Ave and Vauxhall Rd	Growth Factor Grp:	rg1_4U

[Sur	n, Sep 22	, 2019	Mor	n, Sep 23,	2019	Tue	e, Sep 24,	2019	We	d, Sep 25,	2019	Th	u, Sep 26	, 2019	Fr	i, Sep 27,	2019	Sa	t, Sep 28	2019
	Road	Ν	S	Road	N	S	Road	Ν	S	Road	N	S	Road	N	S	Road	N	S	Road	N	S
00:00							91	66	25	107	64	43									
01:00							45	32	13	57	38	19									
02:00							34	19	15	21	10	11									
03:00							31	10	21	31	11	20									
04:00							61	11	50		13	43									
05:00							162	66			61	110									
06:00							439	215	224		188	206									
07:00							954	498	456		511	439									
08:00							870	456	414			423									
09:00							790	419			379	391									
10:00				740	395	345		393	323												
11:00				797	427	370	808	427	381												
12:00				789	402	387	824	427	397												
13:00				811	441	370		425	379												
14:00				994	500	494		477	469												
15:00				969	496	473		586													
16:00				1,083	510	573		596	494												
17:00				1,212	638	574		641	549												
18:00				1,180	663	517		618													
19:00				1,058	640	418		445													
20:00				683	403	280		338	292												
21:00				483	279	204		302	188												
22:00				315	189	126		172	131												
23:00				207	130	77	200	134	66												
Total				11,321	6,113	5,208	14,502	7,773	6,729	3,415	1,710	1,705									
AM Peak Vol				797	427	370	954	498	456												
AM Peak Fct				1	1	1	1	1	1												
AM Peak Hr				11:00	11: 00	11: 00	7: 00	7: 00	7: 00												
PM Peak Vol				1,212	663	574	1,190	641	549												1
PM Peak Fct				1	1	1	1	1	1												Í
PM Peak Hr				17: 00	18: 00	17: 00	17: 00	17: 00	17: 00												
Seasonal Fct				1.039	1.039	1.039	1.039	1.039	1.039	1.039	1.039	1.039									
Daily Fct				1.008	1.008	1.008	.920	.920	.920	.868	.868	.868									1
Axle Fct				.491	.491	.491	.491	.491	.491	.491	.491	.491									
Pulse Fct				2.000	2.000	2.000	2.000	2.000	2.000	2.000	2.000	2.000									

Hourly Classification Count and Percent Distribution

September 23, 2019 Road

											,							
Site nam	es:	162	024										Se	easona	al Facto	or Grp:		rg1_4
County:		UNI	ON										Da	aily Fa	ctor G	rp:		rg1_4
Funct Cla	ass:	Urb	an Min	or Arte	erial								A	kle Fac	tor Gr	p:		rg1_4
Location:		Bet	Haine	s Ave a	and Va	uxhall	Rd						G	rowth F	actor	Grp:		rg1_4
			-															-
	MC	CAR	PU	BUS	2D	SU 3	SU 4+	ST 4-	ST 5	ST 6+	MT 5-	MT 6	MT 7+	UNCLS	Total	Single	Combo	Trucks
10	0	647	74	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	740	17	0	17
10	2 0.27%	647 87.43%		0.00%	2.03%	2 0.27%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00	2.30%	0.00%	2.30%
11	2	688	79	1	23	1	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	797	25	3	28
	0.25%	86.32%	9.91%	0.13%	2.89%	0.13%	0.00%	0.25%	0.13%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00	3.14%	0.38%	3.51%
12	0	704	52	0	31	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	789	31	1	32
	0.00%	89.23%	6.59%	0.00%	3.93%	0.00%	0.00%	0.13%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.13%	100.00	3.93%	0.13%	4.06%
13	1	709	75	0	21	4	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	811	25	1	26
	0.12%	87.42%	9.25%	0.00%	2.59%	0.49%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.12%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00	3.08%	0.12%	3.21%
14	3	872	85	0	33	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	994	34	0	34
15	0.30%	87.73%	8.55%	0.00%	3.32%	0.10%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00	3.42%	0.00%	3.42%
15	0	863 89.06%	75 7.74%	1	24 2.48%	0 0.00%	0	1 0.10%	1 0.10%	0	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0	4 0.41%	969 100.00	25 2.58%	2 0.21%	27 2.79%
16	2	976	7.74%	0.10%	2.40 %	0.00 %	0.00 %	1	2	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00%	0.00 %	0.41%	1,083	2.30 %	3	2.75%
	0.18%	90.12%	7.29%	0.00%	2.12%	0.00%	0.00%	0.09%	0.18%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00	2.12%	0.28%	2.40%
17	2	1.088	96	1	22	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1.212	24	0	24
	0.17%	89.77%	7.92%	0.08%	1.82%	0.08%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.17%	100.00	1.98%	0.00%	1.98%
18	3	1,080	82	0	13	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1,180	13	1	14
	0.25%	91.53%	6.95%	0.00%	1.10%	0.00%	0.00%	0.08%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.08%	100.00	1.10%	0.08%	1.19%
19	2	970	75	0	9	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,058	11	0	11
20	0.19%	91.68%	7.09%	0.00%	0.85%	0.09%	0.09%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00	1.04%	0.00%	1.04%
20	3 0.44%	608 89.02%	63 9.22%	0	7 1.02%	1 0.15%	0	1 0.15%	0 0.00%	0	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	683 100.00	8	1 0.15%	9 1.32%
21	0.44%				3	2					0.00%			0.00%			0.15%	
	0.21%	435 90.06%	41 8.49%	0	3 0.62%	2 0.41%	1 0.21%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0	0.00%	0 0.00%	0	0.00%	483 100.00	6 1.24%	0.00%	6 1.24%
22	0.2170	297	15	0.0070	1	1	1	0.0070	0.0070	0.0070	0.0070	0.0070	0.0070	0.0070	315	3	0.0070	3
	0.00%	94.29%	4.76%	0.00%	0.32%	0.32%	0.32%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00	0.95%	0.00%	0.95%
23	0	196	6	0	3	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	207	5	0	5
	0.00%	94.69%	2.90%	0.00%	1.45%	0.48%	0.48%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00	2.42%	0.00%	2.42%
Total	21	10,133	897	3	228	15	4	7	4	1	0	0	0	8	11,321	250	12	262
	0.19%	89.51%	7.92%	0.03%	2.01%	0.13%	0.04%	0.06%	0.04%	0.01%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.07%	100.00	2.21%	0.11%	2.31%
Total Count	21	10,133	897	3	228	15	4	7	4	1	0	0	0	8	11,321	250	12	262
	0.19%	89.51%	7.92%	0.03%	2.01%	0.13%	0.04%	0.06%	0.04%	0.01%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.07%	100.00	2.21%	0.11%	2.31%

Hourly Classification Count and Percent Distribution

September 24, 2019 Road

Site name County: Funct Cla Location:			ON an Min	or Arte s Ave a		uxhall	Rd	•			,		Da Ax	aily Fa de Fac	al Facto ctor Gi ctor Gr ctor Gr actor	p:		rg1_4U rg1_4U rg1_4U rg1_4U
	MC	CAR	PU	BUS	2D	SU 3	SU 4+	ST 4-	ST 5	ST 6+	MT 5-	MT 6	MT 7+	UNCLS	Total	Single	Combo	Trucks
00	0	89	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	91	0	0	0
	0.00%	97.80%	2.20%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
01	0	41	1	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	45	3	0	3
	0.00%	91.11%	2.22%	0.00%	2.22%	4.44%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00	6.67%	0.00%	6.67%
02	0	24	6	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	34	4	0	4
	0.00%	70.59%	17.65%	2.94%	2.94%	5.88%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00	11.76%	0.00%	11.76%
03	0	27	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	31	1	0	1
	0.00%	87.10%	9.68%	0.00%	0.00%	3.23%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00	3.23%	0.00%	3.23%
04	0.00% 0 0.00%	50 51.97%	9.08% 4 6.56%	0.00%	3 4.92%	4 6.56%	0.00%	0.00% 0 0.00%	0.00%	0.00% 0 0.00%	0.00% 0 0.00%	0.00%	0.00% 0 0.00%	0.00% 0.00%	61	7 11.48%	0.00%	7 11.48%
05	0.00%	137 84.57%	18 11.11%	1	5 3.09%	1 0.62%	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00%	162 100.00	7	0	7 4.32%
06	0.00%	361 82.23%	50 11.39%	1 0.23%	21 4.78%	5 1.14%	0	0	1 0.23%	0.00%	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00%	439 100.00	27 6.15%	1 0.23%	28 6.38%
07	0	831	89	1	31	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	954	34	0	34
	0.00%	87.11%	9.33%	0.10%	3.25%	0.21%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00	3.56%	0.00%	3.56%
08	0	766	77	1	24	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	870	26	1	27
	0.00%	88.05%	8.85%	0.11%	2.76%	0.11%	0.00%	0.00%	0.11%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00	2.99%	0.11%	3.10%
09	1	693	67	1	24	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	790	28	1	29
	0.13%	87.72%	8.48%	0.13%	3.04%	0.38%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.13%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00	3.54%	0.13%	3.67%
10	0	635	60	2	18	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	716	20	1	21
	0.00%	88.69%	8.38%	0.28%	2.51%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.14%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00	2.79%	0.14%	2.93%
11	3	698	80	0	22	3	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	808	25	2	27
	0.37%	86.39%	9.90%	0.00%	2.72%	0.37%	0.00%	0.00%	0.25%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00	3.09%	0.25%	3.34%
12	1	740	58	0	23	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	824	25	0	25
	0.12%	89.81%	7.04%	0.00%	2.79%	0.24%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00	3.03%	0.00%	3.03%
13	0	707	83	0	13	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	804	13	1	14
	0.00%	87.94%	10.32%	0.00%	1.62%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.12%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00	1.62%	0.12%	1.74%
14	0	832	81	1	30	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	946	31	2	33
	0.00%	87.95%	8.56%	0.11%	3.17%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.21%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00	3.28%	0.21%	3.49%
15	2	942	82	2	22	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	2	1,054	24	2	26
	0.19%	89.37%	7.78%	0.19%	2.09%	0.00%	0.00%	0.09%	0.09%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.19%	100.00	2.28%	0.19%	2.47%
16	1	987	78	0	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1,090	23	0	23
	0.09%	90.55%	7.16%	0.00%	2.11%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.09%	100.00	2.11%	0.00%	2.11%
17	1	1,088	79	1	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1,190	21	0	21
	0.08%	91.43%	6.64%	0.08%	1.68%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.08%	100.00	1.76%	0.00%	1.76%
18	3	1,007	80	0	19	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1,112	20	1	21
	0.27%	90.56%	7.19%	0.00%	1.71%	0.09%	0.00%	0.09%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.09%	100.00	1.80%	0.09%	1.89%
19	0	781	64	0	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	858	13	0	13
	0.00%	91.03%	7.46%	0.00%	1.52%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00	1.52%	0.00%	1.52%
20	2	577	43	0	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	630	8	0	8
	0.32%	91.59%	6.83%	0.00%	1.11%	0.16%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00	1.27%	0.00%	1.27%
21	1	468	17	0	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	490	4	0	4
	0.20%	95.51%	3.47%	0.00%	0.20%	0.41%	0.20%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00	0.82%	0.00%	0.82%
22	0	279	17	0	3	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	303	7	0	7
	0.00%	92.08%	5.61%	0.00%	0.99%	1.32%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00	2.31%	0.00%	2.31%
23	0	189	6	0	0	3	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	200	4	1	5
	0.00%	94.50%	3.00%	0.00%	0.00%	1.50%	0.50%	0.00%	0.50%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00	2.00%	0.50%	2.50%
Total	15	12,949	1,145	12	324	37	2	2	10	1	0	0	0	5	14,502	375	13	388
	0.10%	89.29%	7.90%	0.08%	2.23%	0.26%	0.01%	0.01%	0.07%	0.01%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.03%	100.00	2.59%	0.09%	2.68%
Total Count	15	12,949	1,145	12	324	37	2	2	10	1	0	0	0	5	14,502	375	13	388
	0.10%	89.29%	7.90%	0.08%	2.23%	0.26%	0.01%	0.01%	0.07%	0.01%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.03%	100.00	2.59%	0.09%	2.68%

Hourly Classification Count and Percent Distribution

September 25, 2019 Road

Site name County: Funct Cla Location:			ON an Min	or Arte s Ave a		uxhall	Rd	-					Da Ax	easona aily Fa kle Fac rowth f	ctor Gi	p:		rg1_4L rg1_4L rg1_4L rg1_4L
	MC	CAR	PU	BUS	2D	SU 3	SU 4+	ST 4-	ST 5	ST 6+	MT 5-	MT 6	MT 7+	UNCLS	Total	Single	Combo	Trucks
00	0	92	6	0	2	3	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	107	7	1	8
	0.00%	85.98%	5.61%	0.00%	1.87%	2.80%	1.87%	0.00%	0.93%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.93%	100.00	6.54%	0.93%	7.48%
01	0	43	7	0	2	4	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	57	6	1	7
	0.00%	75.44%	12.28%	0.00%	3.51%	7.02%	0.00%	0.00%	1.75%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00	10.53%	1.75%	12.28%
02	0	18	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	21	1	0	1
	0.00%	85.71%	9.52%	0.00%	4.76%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00	4.76%	0.00%	4.76%
03	0	27	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	31	2	0	2
	0.00%	87.10%	6.45%	0.00%	0.00%	6.45%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00	6.45%	0.00%	6.45%
04	0	47	6	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	56	3	0	3
	0.00%	83.93%	10.71%	0.00%	3.57%	1.79%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00	5.36%	0.00%	5.36%
05	1	145	18	0	5	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	171	7	0	7
	0.58%	84.80%	10.53%	0.00%	2.92%	1.17%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00	4.09%	0.00%	4.09%
06	0	318	49	1	19	5	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	394	25	2	27
	0.00%	80.71%	12.44%	0.25%	4.82%	1.27%	0.00%	0.25%	0.25%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00	6.35%	0.51%	6.85%
07	0	851	71	2	23	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	950	28	0	28
	0.00%	89.58%	7.47%	0.21%	2.42%	0.32%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00	2.95%	0.00%	2.95%
08	2	755	69	2	29	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	858	31	1	32
	0.23%	88.00%	8.04%	0.23%	3.38%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.12%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00	3.61%	0.12%	3.73%
09	0	668	77	0	24	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	770	25	0	25
	0.00%	86.75%	10.00%	0.00%	3.12%	0.13%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00	3.25%	0.00%	3.25%
Total	3	2,964	307	5	107	21	2	1	4	0	0	0	0	1	3,415	135	5	140
	0.09%	86.79%	8.99%	0.15%	3.13%	0.61%	0.06%	0.03%	0.12%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.03%	100.00	3.95%	0.15%	4.10%
Total Count	3	2,964 86.79%	307 8.99%	5 0.15%	107 3.13%	21 0.61%	2 0.06%	1	4 0.12%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1 0.03%	3,415 100.00	135 3.95%	5 0.15%	140 4.10%

Hourly Classification Count and Percent Distribution

September 23, 2019 North

											,							
Site name	es:	162	024										S	easona	al Facto	or Grp:		rg1_4
County:		UNI	ON										D	aily Fa	ctor G	rp:		rg1_4
Funct Cla	ass:	Urb	an Min	or Arte	erial								A	xle Fac	ctor Gr	p:		rg1_4
Location:		Bet	Haines	s Ave a	and Va	uxhall	Rd						G	rowth I	Factor	Grp:		rg1_4
		0.45	511	DU O		0110	0114	07.4	07.5	07.0		1.17.0						-
	MC	CAR	PU	BUS	2D	SU 3	SU 4+	ST 4-	ST 5	ST 6+	MT 5-	MT 6	MT 7+	UNCLS	Total	Single	Combo	Trucks
10	4	0.45	40	0	0	4	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	005	9	0	0
10	1 0.25%	345 87.34%	40 10 13%	0.00%	8 2.03%	1 0.25%	0	0 0.00%	0.00%	0	0 0.00%	0.00%	0	0 0.00%	395 100.00	2.28%	0 0.00%	9 2.28%
11	0.2070	371	40	0.0070	12	1	0.0070	2	1	0.0070	0.0070	0.0070	0.0070	0.0070	427	13	3	16
	0.00%	86.89%		0.00%	2.81%	0.23%	0.00%	0.47%	0.23%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00	3.04%	0.70%	3.75%
12	0	360	22	0	18	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	402	18	1	19
	0.00%	89.55%	5.47%	0.00%	4.48%	0.00%	0.00%	0.25%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.25%	100.00	4.48%	0.25%	4.73%
13	1	398	35	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	441	7	0	7
	0.23%	90.25%	7.94%	0.00%	1.59%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00	1.59%	0.00%	1.59%
14	1	443	37	0	18	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	500	19	0	19
45	0.20%	88.60%		0.00%	3.60%	0.20%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00	3.80%	0.00%	3.80%
15	0	450	31	0	11	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	496	11	1	12
16	0.00%	90.73%		0.00%	2.22%	0.00%	0.00%	0.20%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.60%	100.00	2.22%	0.20%	2.42%
10	1 0.20%	466 91.37%	31 6.08%	0	10 1.96%	0 0.00%	0	1 0.20%	1 0.20%	0	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0	0 0.00%	510 100.00	10	2 0.39%	12 2.35%
17	1	581	47	0.0070	9	0.0078	0.0078	0.2070	0.2070	0.0070	0.0070	0.0070	0.0078	0.0070	638	9	0.0070	9
	0.16%	91.07%		0.00%	1.41%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00	1.41%	0.00%	1.41%
18	1	612	40	0	8	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	663	8	1	9
	0.15%	92.31%	6.03%	0.00%	1.21%	0.00%	0.00%	0.15%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.15%	100.00	1.21%	0.15%	1.36%
19	1	601	34	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	640	4	0	4
	0.16%	93.91%	5.31%	0.00%	0.63%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00	0.63%	0.00%	0.63%
20	0	364	32	0	5	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	403	6	1	7
01	0.00%	90.32%	7.94%	0.00%	1.24%	0.25%	0.00%	0.25%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00	1.49%	0.25%	1.74%
21	1 0.36%	252 90.32%	24 8.60%	0	1	1 0.36%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0	0 0.00%	0	0	0 0.00%	279 100.00	2 0.72%	0 0.00%	2
22					0.36%													0.72%
	0 0.00%	183 96.83%	5 2.65%	0	1 0.53%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0	0 0.00%	0	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	189 100.00	1 0.53%	0 0.00%	1 0.53%
23	0.0070	123	4	0.0070	1	1	1	0.0070	0.0070	0.0070	0.0070	0.0070	0.0070	0.0070	130	3	0.0078	3
	0.00%	94.62%		0.00%	0.77%	0.77%	0.77%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00	2.31%	0.00%	2.31%
Total	8	5,549	422	0	113	6	1	7	2	0	0	0	0	5	6,113	120	9	129
	0.13%	90.77%	6.90%	0.00%	1.85%	0.10%	0.02%	0.11%	0.03%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.08%	100.00	1.96%	0.15%	2.11%
Total Count	8	5,549	422	0	113	6	1	7	2	0	0	0	0	5	6,113	120	9	129
	0.13%	90.77%	6.90%	0.00%	1.85%	0.10%	0.02%	0.11%	0.03%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.08%	100.00	1.96%	0.15%	2.11%

Hourly Classification Count and Percent Distribution

September 24, 2019 North

Site name County: Funct Cla Location:	ass:		ON an Min	ior Arte s Ave a		uxhall	Rd	-			-, -		D: A:	easona aily Fa kle Fac rowth I	ctor G tor Gr	p:		rg1_4U rg1_4U rg1_4U rg1_4U
	MC	CAR	PU	BUS	2D	SU 3	SU 4+	ST 4-	ST 5	ST 6+	MT 5-	MT 6	MT 7+	UNCLS	Total	Single	Combo	Trucks
00	0 0.00%	64 96.97%	2 3.03%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	66 100.00	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%
01	0 0.00%	28 87.50%	1 3.13%	0 0.00%	1 3.13%	2 6.25%	0 0.00%	32 100.00	3 9.38%	0 0.00%	3 9.38%							
02	0 0.00%	13 68.42%	4 21.05%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	2 10.53%	0 0.00%	19 100.00	2 10.53%	0 0.00%	2 10.53%							
03	0 0.00%	10 100.00	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	10 100.00	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%
04	0 0.00%	8 72.73%	1 9.09%	0 0.00%	1 9.09%	1 9.09%	0 0.00%	11 100.00	2 18.18%	0 0.00%	2 18.18%							
05	0 0.00%	55 83.33%	8 12.12%	1 1.52%	2 3.03%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	66 100.00	3 4.55%	0 0.00%	3 4.55%
06	0 0.00%	170 79.07%	26 12.09%	0 0.00%	14 6.51%	4 1.86%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1 0.47%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	215 100.00	18 8.37%	1 0.47%	19 8.84%
07	0 0.00%	432 86.75%	49 9.84%	0 0.00%	17 3.41%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	498 100.00	17 3.41%	0 0.00%	17 3.41%
08	0	402 88.16%	40 8.77%	0	13 2.85%	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	456 100.00	14 3.07%	0	14 3.07%
09	0	372 88.78%	32 7.64%	0	12 2.86%	2 0.48%	0	0	0	1 0.24%	0	0	0	0	419 100.00	14 3.34%	1 0.24%	15 3.58%
10	0	347 88.30%	37 9.41%	1 0.25%	8 2.04%	0.00%	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00%	393 100.00	9 2.29%	0.00%	9 2.29%
11	2 0.47%	375 87.82%	38 8.90%	0.00%	9 2.11%	2 0.47%	0.00%	0.00%	1 0.23%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	427 100.00	11 2.58%	1 0.23%	12 2.81%
12	0.00%	389 91.10%	30 7.03%	0.00%	8 1.87%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	427 100.00	8 1.87%	0.00%	8 1.87%
13	0.00%	379 89.18%	38 8.94%	0.00%	8 1.88%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	425 100.00	1.88%	0.00%	8 1.88%
14	0.00%	431 90.36%	32 6.71%	0.00%	1.88% 12 2.52%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	2 0.42%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	477 100.00	1.88% 12 2.52%	2 0.42%	14 2.94%
15	2 0.34%	530 90.44%	44 7.51%	0	9	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.42%	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	1 0.17%	586 100.00	9	0.42%	9
16	1	544	40	0.00%	1.54%	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0	596	1.54%	0	1.54%
17	0.17%	91.28% 591	6.71% 41	0.00%	1.85% 8	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00 641	1.85% 8	0.00%	1.85% 8
18	0.16%	92.20% 568	6.40% 34	0.00%	1.25%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00 618	1.25% 12	0.00%	1.25% 13
19	0.32%	91.91% 410	28	0.00%	1.78%	0.16%	0.00%	0.16%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.16%	100.00 445	1.94% 7	0.16%	2.10%
20	0.00%	92.13% 310	6.29% 21	0.00%	1.57% 4	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00 338	1.57% 5	0.00%	1.57% 5
21	1	91.72% 296	3	0.00%	1.18% 1	0.30% 1	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00% 0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00% 0	100.00 302	1.48% 2	0.00%	1.48% 2
22	0	98.01% 160	0.99% 9	0.00%	0.33%	0.33% 2	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00 172	0.66% 3	0.00%	0.66% 3
23	0.00%	93.02% 127	5.23% 4	0.00%	0.58% 0	1.16% 2	0.00% 0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00%	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	100.00 134	1.74% 2	0.00%	1.74% 3
Total	0.00%	94.78% 7,011	2.99% 562	0.00%	0.00%	1.49% 21	0.00%	0.00%	0.75% 5	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00	1	0.75% 7	2.24% 187
Total Count		90.20% 7,011		0.03%	2.02%	0.27%	0.00%	0.01%	0.06%	0.01%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.03%	100.00	1	0.09% 7	2.41%
		90.20%		0.03%	2.02%	0.27%	0.00%			0.01%	-		0.00%	0.03%		2.32%		2.41%

Hourly Classification Count and Percent Distribution

September 25, 2019 North

											•							
Site name	es:	162														or Grp:		rg1_4
County:		UNI	-												ctor G			rg1_4
Funct Cla	ISS:		an Min												tor Gr			rg1_4
Location:		Bet	Haines	s Ave a	and Va	uxhall	Rd						G	rowth I	actor	Grp:		rg1_4
	MC	CAR	PU	BUS	2D	SU 3	SU 4+	ST 4-	ST 5	ST 6+	MT 5-	MT 6	MT 7+	UNCLS	Total	Single	Combo	Trucks
																g		
00	0	58	3	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	64	3	0	3
	0.00%	90.63%	4.69%	0.00%	1.56%	1.56%	1.56%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00	4.69%	0.00%	4.69%
01	0	29	4	0	1	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	38	4	1	5
	0.00%	76.32%	10.53%	0.00%	2.63%	7.89%	0.00%	0.00%	2.63%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00	10.53%	2.63%	13.16%
02	0	8	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0
	0.00%	80.00%	20.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
03	0	9 81.82%	1	0	0	1	0	0 0.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0 0.00%	11	1	0	1
04				0.00%	0.00%				0.00%		0.00%	0.00%			100.00	9.09%		9.09%
04	0 0.00%	11 84 62%	2 15.38%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0	0 0.00%	13 100.00	0	0 0.00%	0 0.00%
05	0	53	6	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	61	2	0	2
	0.00%	86.89%	9.84%	0.00%	3.28%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00	3.28%	0.00%	3.28%
06	0	149	24	0	10	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	188	14	1	15
	0.00%	79.26%	12.77%	0.00%	5.32%	2.13%	0.00%	0.53%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00	7.45%	0.53%	7.98%
07	0	458	39	1	12	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	511	14	0	14
	0.00%	89.63%	7.63%	0.20%	2.35%	0.20%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00	2.74%	0.00%	2.74%
08	1	389	27	0	17	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	435	17	1	18
	0.23%	89.43%		0.00%	3.91%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.23%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00	3.91%	0.23%	4.14%
09	0	329	38	0	11	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	379	12	0	12
Total			10.03%	0.00%	2.90%	0.26%	0.00%	0.00%		0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00	3.17%	0.00%	3.17%
IULAI	1	1,493 87.31%	146 8.54%	1 0.06%	54 3.16%	11 0.64%	1 0.06%	1 0.06%	2 0.12%	0	0 0.00%	0	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1,710 100.00	67 3.92%	3 0.18%	70 4.09%
Total Count	0.00%						0.00%	1.06%						0.00%				
· ota: oount	1 0.06%	1,493 87.31%	146 8.54%	1 0.06%	54 3.16%	11 0.64%	0.06%		2 0.12%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0	~	1,710 100.00	67 3.92%	3 0.18%	70 4.09%
	0.0070	0.10170	0.0470	0.0070	0070	0.0470	0.0070	0.0070	0270	0.0070	0.0070	0.0070	0.0070	0.0070		0.0270	0070	

Hourly Classification Count and Percent Distribution

September 23, 2019 South

											,							
Site name	es:	162											Se	easona	al Facto	or Grp:		rg1_4
County:		UNI	ON										Da	aily Fa	ctor G	rp:		rg1_4
Funct Cla	ass:	Urb	an Min	or Arte	erial									xle Fac				rg1_4
Location:		Bet	Haines	s Ave a	and Va	uxhall	Rd						G	rowth I	Factor	Grp:		rg1_4
	МС	CAR	PU	BUS	2D	SU 3	SU 4+	ST 4-	ST 5	ST 6+	MT 5-	MT 6	MT 7+	UNCLS	Total	Single	Combo	Trucks
	inic	0/11	10	200	20		00 41	014			WIT U				rotar	Cingic	Combo	Trucko
10	1	302	34	0	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	345	8	0	8
	0.29%	87.54%	9.86%	0.00%	2.03%	0.29%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00	2.32%	0.00%	2.32%
11	2	317	39	1	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	370	12	0	12
- 10	0.54%	85.68%	10.54%	0.27%	2.97%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00	3.24%	0.00%	3.24%
12	0	344	30	0	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	387	13	0	13
13	0.00%	88.89% 311	7.75% 40	0.00%	3.36%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00 370	3.36%	0.00%	3.36%
10	0.00%	311 84.05%	40 10.81%	0.00%	3.78%	4 1.08%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.27%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	370	4.86%	0.27%	19 5.14%
14	2	429	48	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	494	15	0	15
	0.40%	86.84%	9.72%	0.00%	3.04%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00	3.04%	0.00%	3.04%
15	0	413	44	1	13	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	473	14	1	15
	0.00%	87.32%	9.30%	0.21%	2.75%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.21%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.21%	100.00	2.96%	0.21%	3.17%
16	1	510	48	0	13	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	573	13	1	14
17	0.17%	89.01%	8.38%	0.00%	2.27%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.17%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00	2.27%	0.17%	2.44%
.,	1 0.17%	507 88.33%	49 8.54%	1 0.17%	13 2.26%	1 0.17%	0	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0	2 0.35%	574 100.00	15 2.61%	0 0.00%	15 2.61%
18	2	468	42	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	517	5	0	5
	0.39%	90.52%	8.12%	0.00%	0.97%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00	0.97%	0.00%	0.97%
19	1	369	41	0	5	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	418	7	0	7
	0.24%	88.28%	9.81%	0.00%	1.20%	0.24%	0.24%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00	1.67%	0.00%	1.67%
20	3	244	31	0	2	0	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0	0	0	280	2	0	2
21	1.07%	87.14% 183	11.07%	0.00%	0.71%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00 204	0.71%	0.00%	0.71%
	0.00%	183	17 8.33%	0	2 0.98%	1 0.49%	0.49%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	204	4	0.00%	4 1.96%
22	0	114	10	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	126	2	0	2
	0.00%	90.48%	7.94%	0.00%	0.00%	0.79%	0.79%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00	1.59%	0.00%	1.59%
23	0	73	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	77	2	0	2
T	0.00%	94.81%	2.60%	0.00%	2.60%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00	2.60%	0.00%	2.60%
Total	13	4,584	475	3	115	9	3	0	2	1	0	0	0	3	5,208	130	3	133
Total Count	0.25%	88.02%	9.12%	0.06%	2.21%	0.17%	0.06%	0.00%	0.04%	0.02%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.06%	100.00	2.50%	0.06%	2.55%
rotar Count	13 0.25%	4,584 88.02%	475 9.12%	3 0.06%	115 2.21%	9 0.17%	3 0.06%	0 0.00%	2 0.04%	1 0.02%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	3 0.06%	5,208 100.00	130 2.50%	3 0.06%	133 2.55%
	0.20/0	00.0270	J. 1 Z /0	0.00 %	2.21/0	0.17/0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.04 /0	0.02 /0	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 /0	0.00 /0	100.00	2.00 /0	0.00 %	2.00 %

Hourly Classification Count and Percent Distribution

September 24, 2019 South

Site name County: Funct Cla Location:			ON an Min	or Arte s Ave a		uxhall	Rd	•					D: A:	easona aily Fa xle Fac rowth f	ctor Gi	р: р:		rg1_4U rg1_4U rg1_4U rg1_4U
	MC	CAR	PU	BUS	2D	SU 3	SU 4+	ST 4-	ST 5	ST 6+	MT 5-	MT 6	MT 7+	UNCLS	Total	Single	Combo	Trucks
00	0 0.00%	25 100.00	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	25 100.00	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%
01	0 0.00%	13 100.00	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	13 100.00	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%
02	0 0.00%	11 73.33%	2 13.33%	1 6.67%	1 6.67%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	15 100.00	2 13.33%	0 0.00%	2 13.33%
03	0 0.00%	17 80.95%	3 14.29%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1 4.76%	0 0.00%	21 100.00	1 4.76%	0 0.00%	1 4.76%							
04	0 0.00%	42 84.00%	3 6.00%	0 0.00%	2 4.00%	3 6.00%	0 0.00%	50	5 10.00%	0 0.00%	5 10.00%							
05	0 0.00%	82 85.42%	10 10.42%	0 0.00%	3 3.13%	1 1.04%	0 0.00%	96 100.00	4 4.17%	0 0.00%	4 4.17%							
06	0.00%	191 85.27%	24 10.71%	1 0.45%	7	1 0.45%	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0.00%	224 100.00	9 4.02%	0	9 4.02%
07	0	399	40	1	14	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	456	17	0	17
08	0.00%	87.50% 364	8.77% 37	0.22%	3.07%	0.44%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00 414	3.73%	0.00%	3.73% 13
09	0.00%	87.92% 321	8.94% 35	0.24%	2.66%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.24%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00 371	2.90%	0.24%	3.14% 14
10	0.27% 0	86.52% 288	9.43% 23	0.27% 1	3.23% 10	0.27% 0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00% 0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00 323	3.77% 11	0.00% 1	3.77% 12
11	0.00% 1	89.16% 323	7.12% 42	0.31% 0	3.10% 13	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.31% 1	0.00% 0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00 381	3.41% 14	0.31% 1	3.72% 15
12	0.26% 1	84.78% 351	11.02% 28	0.00% 0	3.41% 15	0.26% 2	0.00%	0.00%	0.26% 0	0.00% 0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00 397	3.67% 17	0.26% 0	3.94% 17
13	0.25% 0	88.41% 328	7.05% 45	0.00% 0	3.78% 5	0.50% 0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00% 0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00 379	4.28% 5	0.00% 1	4.28% 6
14	0.00% 0	86.54% 401	11.87% 49	0.00%	1.32% 18	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.26% 0	0.00% 0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00 469	1.32% 19	0.26% 0	1.58% 19
15	0.00% 0	85.50% 412	10.45% 38	0.21% 2	3.84% 13	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00% 0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00 468	4.05% 15	0.00% 2	4.05% 17
16	0.00% 0	88.03% 443	8.12% 38	0.43%	2.78% 12	0.00%	0.00%	0.21%	0.21% 0	0.00% 0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.21%	100.00 494	3.21% 12	0.43% 0	3.63% 12
17	0.00%	89.68% 497	7.69% 38	0.00%	2.43%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.20%	100.00 549	2.43% 13	0.00%	2.43% 13
18	0.00%	90.53% 439	6.92% 46	0.18% 0	2.19%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.18% 0	100.00 494	2.37%	0.00%	2.37%
19	0.20%	88.87%	9.31% 36	0.00%	1.62% 6	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	434 100.00 413	1.62% 6	0.00%	1.62% 6
20	0.00%	371 89.83%	8.72%	0.00%	1.45%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00	1.45%	0.00%	1.45%
20		267 91.44%	22 7.53%	0 0.00%	3 1.03%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	292 100.00	3 1.03%	0 0.00%	3 1.03%
		172 91.49%	14 7.45%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1 0.53%	1 0.53%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%		188 100.00		0 0.00%	2 1.06%
22	0 0.00%	119 90.84%	8 6.11%	0 0.00%	2 1.53%	2 1.53%	0 0.00%	131 100.00	4 3.05%	0 0.00%	4 3.05%							
23	0 0.00%	62 93.94%	2 3.03%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1 1.52%	1 1.52%	0 0.00%	66 100.00	2 3.03%	0 0.00%	2 3.03%						
Total	4 0.06%	5,938 88.24%	583 8.66%	10 0.15%	167 2.48%	16 0.24%	2 0.03%	1 0.01%	5 0.07%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	3 0.04%	6,729 100.00	195 2.90%	6 0.09%	201 2.99%
Total Count	4 0.06%	5,938 88.24%	583 8.66%	10 0.15%	167 2.48%	16 0.24%	2 0.03%	1 0.01%	5 0.07%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	3 0.04%	6,729 100.00	195 2.90%	6 0.09%	201 2.99%

Hourly Classification Count and Percent Distribution

September 25, 2019 South

Site name County: Funct Cla Location:						uxhall	Rd	•					D: A:	easona aily Fa xle Fac rowth I	ctor Gi	р:		rg1_4L rg1_4L rg1_4L rg1_4L
	MC	CAR	PU	BUS	2D	SU 3	SU 4+	ST 4-	ST 5	ST 6+	MT 5-	MT 6	MT 7+	UNCLS	Total	Single	Combo	Trucks
00	0	34	3	0	1	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	43	4	1	5
	0.00%	79.07%	6.98%	0.00%	2.33%	4.65%	2.33%	0.00%	2.33%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	2.33%	100.00	9.30%	2.33%	11.63%
01	0	14	3	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	19	2	0	2
	0.00%	73.68%	15.79%	0.00%	5.26%	5.26%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00	10.53%	0.00%	10.53%
02	0	10	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	1	0	1
	0.00%	90.91%	0.00%	0.00%	9.09%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00	9.09%	0.00%	9.09%
03	0	18	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	1	0	1
	0.00%	90.00%	5.00%	0.00%	0.00%	5.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00	5.00%	0.00%	5.00%
04	0	36	4	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	43	3	0	3
	0.00%	83.72%	9.30%	0.00%	4.65%	2.33%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00	6.98%	0.00%	6.98%
05	1	92	12	0	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	110	5	0	5
	0.91%	83.64%	10.91%	0.00%	2.73%	1.82%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00	4.55%	0.00%	4.55%
06	0	169	25	1	9	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	206	11	1	12
	0.00%	82.04%	12.14%	0.49%	4.37%	0.49%	0.00%	0.00%	0.49%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00	5.34%	0.49%	5.83%
07	0	393	32	1	11	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	439	14	0	14
	0.00%	89.52%	7.29%	0.23%	2.51%	0.46%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00	3.19%	0.00%	3.19%
08	1	366	42	2	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	423	14	0	14
	0.24%	86.52%	9.93%	0.47%	2.84%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00	3.31%	0.00%	3.31%
09	0	339	39	0	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	391	13	0	13
	0.00%	86.70%	9.97%	0.00%	3.32%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00	3.32%	0.00%	3.32%
Total	2	1,471	161	4	53	10	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	1,705	68	2	70
	0.12%	86.28%	9.44%	0.23%	3.11%	0.59%	0.06%	0.00%	0.12%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.06%	100.00	3.99%	0.12%	4.11%
Total Count	2	1,471	161	4	53	10	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	1,705	68	2	70
	0.12%	86.28%	9.44%	0.23%	3.11%	0.59%	0.06%	0.00%	0.12%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.06%	100.00	3.99%	0.12%	4.11%

Count Class Distribution for 09/23/2019 through 09/25/2019

Site names:	
County:	
Funct Class:	
Location:	

162024 UNION Urban Minor Arterial Bet Haines Ave and Vauxhall Rd

Seasonal Factor Grp:	rg1_4U
Daily Factor Grp:	rg1_4U
Axle Factor Grp:	rg1_4U
Growth Factor Grp:	rg1_4U

	Road	N	S
MC	39	20	19
	.13%	.13%	.14%
CAR	26,046	14,053	11,993
	89.08%	90.11%	87.91%
PU	2,349	1,130	1,219
	8.03%	7.25%	8.94%
BUS	20	3	17
	.07%	.02%	.12%
2D	659	324	335
	2.25%	2.08%	2.46%
SU 3	73	38	35
	.25%	.24%	.26%
SU 4+	8	2	6
	.03%	.01%	.04%
ST 4-	10	9	1
	.03%	.06%	.01%
ST 5	18	9	9
	.06%	.06%	.07%
ST 6+	2	1	1
	.01%	.01%	.01%
MT 5-	0	0	0
	.00%	.00%	.00%
MT 6	0	0	0
	.00%	.00%	.00%
MT 7+	0	0	0
	.00%	.00%	.00%
UNCLS	14	7	7
	.05%	.04%	.05%
Trucks	790	386	404
	2.70%	2.47%	2.96%
Combo Trucks	30	19	11
	.10%	.12%	.08%
Classified	29,224	15,589	13,635
	99.95%	99.96%	99.95%
Volume	29,238	15,596	13,642
	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

F. PHOTOGRAPHS

Stuyvesant Ave Road Safety Audit



Outdated traffic signal equipment



Outdated pedestrian signal equipment; Missing pedestrian push buttons



ADA curb ramp and adjoining paver sidewalk results in uneven pedestrian path



Narrow sidewalk in poor condition and abutting parking stalls







Uneven sidewalk

T.C

No marked crosswalk across Stuyvesant Avenue despite bus stop presence



Cars parked too close to the intersection



No marked crosswalk; Missing stop bar marking; No lighting





SIGNALIZED INTERSECTION





PROJECT CORRIDOR

2

NJDOT HSIP – ROAD SAFETY AUDIT STUYVESANT AVENUE UNION TWP.

UNION COUNTY



N.T.S.

Engineering Design PlannIng Construction Management

Driveway in poor condition

Manhole in ADA curb ramp area

Parked vehicle overhang obstructs pedestrian path





Poor pavement condition; Faded stop bar marking



No marked crosswalk; Missing stop bar marking



Driveway within signalized intersection

Outdated traffic signal; Missing pedestrian signal equipment





LEGEND

SIGNALIZED INTERSECTION



3 6

NJDOT HSIP – ROAD SAFETY AUDIT STUYVESANT AVENUE

UNION TWP. UNION COUNTY



N.T.S.

Engineering Design PlannIng Construction Management





No marked crosswalk and missing stop bar marking; Tilted stop sign post; Truck parked on sidewalk



Vegetation obstructing sidewalk



Missing crosswalk and stop bar markings; Poor pavement condition

Stop sign visibility issues

SIGNALIZED INTERSECTION



PROJECT CORRIDOR



NJDOT HSIP – ROAD SAFETY AUDIT STUYVESANT AVENUE

UNION TWP. UNION COUNTY



Engineering Design Planning Construction Management

No marked crosswalk; Drainage issues along crosswalk



Guide rail not compliant



Parked cars overhanging on sidewalk obstructing pedestrian path



Long pedestrian crossing and faded crosswalk; Large curb radii; No pedestrian signalequipment







Lighting underneath overpass needs inspection



Steep sidewalk slope





SITE PHOTOGRAPHS



Engineering Design Planning Construction Management

G. PRE-AUDIT PRESENTATION

Stuyvesant Ave Road Safety Audit



ROAD SAFETY AUDIT

STUYVESANT AVE (CR 619), VAUXHALL ROAD TO I-78 (MP 5.14-5.95) UNION COUNTY

May 2023











FEDERAL TRANSPORTATION FUNDING

- Local Safety and High Risk Rural Roads Programs
 - \$235M on County / Local Roadways
 - Relatively quick-fix safety improvements
- HSIP funds emphasizes data-driven, strategic approach to improving highway safety
- Network Screening identifies locations experiencing:
 - High crash frequencies
 - Severe crash injuries
 - Specific crash types (e.g. right-angle, roadway departures)
- Community Outreach opportunities for public, local officials and stakeholders to comment and ask questions

RSA PURPOSE

Formal safety performance examination by an independent, multidisciplinary audit team that identifies safety improvement opportunities for all road users.

Benefits

- Pro-actively address safety; reduce crashes
- Identify low-cost/high-value improvements
- Promote "safety culture"
- Provide continuous advancement of safety skills and knowledge
- Contribute feedback on safety issues
- Support optimized savings of lives, money and time

Not meant to replace

- Design quality control
- Standard compliance
- Traffic or safety impact studies
- Safety conscious planning
- Road safety inventory programs
- Traffic safety modeling efforts



FHWA PROVEN SAFETY COUNTERMEASURES (PSC)

- 28 countermeasures
- Research proven strategies
 - Intersections
 - Roadway departures
 - Pedestrian/bicyclist
- Several crosscutting strategies address multiple safety focus areas



9

<section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item>

PROJECT AREA

Site Summary

- Undivided
- Urban minor arterial
- 2 lanes (excl. turn lanes)
- 25 mph
- Commercial/residential mix

See D	emographics	
Item*	Study Area	Union County
DI	43%	-
POC	77%	61%
65+	15%	14%
18-	19%	23%
LI	4%	9%
LEP	15%	18%
PwD	8%	9%

* DI = Demographic Index POC = People of Color 65+ = People over age 64 18- = People under age 18

LI = Low Income

LEP = Limited English Proficiency PwD = Persons with a Disability DI > 50% indicates underserved

















Dress appropriately for safety and weather. Safety vests must be worn.

Q

Observations

- What elements of the road may present a safety concern?
- To what extent, to which road users, and under what circumstances?
- What corridor safety issues did you observe?
- What localized safety issues did you observe?

8

Recommendations

- What opportunities exist to eliminate or mitigate identified safety concerns?
- What improvements would you make?
- Are any of the FHWA countermeasures beneficial?



H. ROAD OWNER RESPONSE

Stuyvesant Ave Road Safety Audit



COUNTY OF UNION

DEPARTMENT OF ENGINEERING, PUBLIC WORKS & FACILITIES MANAGEMENT Joseph J. Policay, Jr., CPWM, Acting Director

January 22, 2024

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

KIMBERLY PALMIERI-MOUDED Chairwoman

LOURDES LEON Vice-Chairwoman

JAMES E. BAKER, JR.

JOSEPH C. BODEK

MICHÈLE S. DELISFORT

SERGIO GRANADOS

BETTE JANE KOWALSKI

ALEXANDER MIRABELLA

REBECCA WILLIAMS

EDWARD T. OATMAN County Manager

AMY CRISP WAGNER Deputy County Manager

BRUCE H. BERGEN, ESQ. County Counsel

JAMES E. PELLETTIERE Clerk of the Board

RICARDO S. MATIAS PE, CME, CFM County Engineer Director, Division of Engineering Dear Ms. Steponanko,

Bridgewater, NJ 08807

ATTN: Julia Steponanko

Greenman-Pedersen, Inc.

520 US Highway 22, Suite 200

On behalf of the County of Union, I would like to thank you and everyone involved for participating in the Stuyvesant Avenue (CR 619) Roadway Safety Audit which was conducted between Vauxhall Road and I-78. Union County values the collaboration between all of the stakeholders on this significant effort to evaluate traffic safety improvements along the vital corridor.

The Union County Engineering office has reviewed the numerous recommendations contained in the report and although we cannot commit to any specific recommendations, they will be useful in future analysis and considered in improvement projects moving forward.

Union County is committed to the improvement of safety and accessibility to all users of our transportation system and the Roadway Safety Audit is an important first step in that commitment.

Very truly yours,

Ricordo Matias

Scotch Plains, NJ 07076

Ricardo S. Matias, PE, CME, CFM County Engineer

07076 (908)789-3675 fax(90 We're Connected to You!

fax(908)789-3674

www.ucnj.org